

- II. Claims 1-8, 10, drawn to compounds, wherein R7 is as claimed in claim 6, R3 and R31 together are not a 1-4C-alkylene group.
- III. Claims 1-8, 10, drawn to compounds not included in groups I or II.
- IV. Claims 12-14, drawn to methods of treating limited to the scope of one of the Groups above.

#### **PROVISIONAL ELECTION**

Applicants provisionally elect **Group I**. In response to the Examiner's requirement for applicants to elect a single disclosed species, applicants provisionally elect **the compound of Example 1**: N' -{1-[4-((4aR,10bR)-8,9-Dimethoxy-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydrophenanthridin-6-yl)-phenyl]-methanoyl}-N,N-diethylguanidine.

#### **TRAVERSAL**

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's restriction / election requirement.

First, the restriction / election requirement is traversed because it omits "an appropriate explanation" as to the existence of a "serious burden" if a restriction were not required. See MPEP 803. A complete and thorough search for the subject matter set forth in the provisionally elected species set forth above would require searching the art areas appropriate to all other

subject matter contained in this application. Since a search of the subject matter of the provisionally elected species and all other subject matter contained in this application would be coextensive, it would not be a serious burden upon the Examiner to conduct a search of all subject matter contained in this application.

Furthermore, applicants have paid a filing fee for an examination of all the claims in this application. If the Examiner refuses to examine the claims paid for when filing this application and persists in requiring applicants to file divisional applications for each of the groups of claims, the Examiner would essentially be forcing applicants to pay duplicative fees for the non-elected or withdrawn claims, inasmuch as the original filing fees for the claims (which would be later prosecuted in divisional applications) are not refundable.


**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the restriction / election requirement, and to examine all of the claims pending in this application.

If the Examiner has any questions or wishes to discuss this matter, the Examiner is welcomed to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

**THE NATH LAW GROUP**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gary M. Nath", is written over a horizontal line.

Gary M. Nath  
Reg. No. 26,965  
Joshua B. Goldberg  
Reg. No. 44,126  
Customer No. 34375

Date: August 24, 2007

**THE NATH LAW GROUP**  
112 South West Street

Alexandria, VA 22314  
Telephone: (703) 548-6284  
Facsimile: (703) 683-8396  
JBG/SMM\RRR.doc